arXiv:1402.3064v2 [math.AP] 10 Sep 2014

The $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes approximation for non-stationary problems

Dominic Breit

LMU Munich, Department of Mathematics, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 Munich, Germany

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an elliptic tensor. A function $\mathbf{v} \in L^1(I;\mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{div}}(B))$ is a solution to the non-stationary $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem iff

$$\int_Q \mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x = 0 \quad \forall\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q), \tag{0.1}$$

where $Q := I\times B$, $B\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ bounded. If the l.h.s. is not zero but small we talk about almost solutions. We present an approximation result in the fashion of the $\mathcal{A}$-caloric approximation for the non-stationary $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem. Precisely, we show that every almost solution $\mathbf{v}\in L^p(I;W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{div}}(B))$, $1<p<\infty$, to (0.1) can be approximated by a solution to (0.1) in the $L^s(I;W^{1,s}(B))$-sense for all $s<p$. So, we extend the stationary $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes approximation from [BrDF] to parabolic problems.

Keywords: solenoidal Lipschitz truncation, divergence free truncation, Navier-Stokes, Non-Newtonian fluids, A-harmonic approximation, A-caloric approximation, A-Stokes approximation,
2010 MSC: 35K40, 35K51, 35Q35, 76D03

1. Introduction

A very crucial tool in the analysis of nonlinear partial differential equations is a comparison with solutions to linear problems. This powerful idea firstly appears in the work of De Giorgi [De1] in the context of minimal surfaces. Roughly speaking we consider the nonlinear problem locally as a perturbation of a linear partial differential equation and try to transfer qualitative properties from the linear theory. Solutions to linear problems typically are smooth so we are hoping to approximate solutions to nonlinear problems by solutions to linear ones in an appropriate way in order to establish regularity properties (of the solutions to nonlinear equations).
Let $\mathcal{A}:\mathbb{R}^{d\times D}\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times D}$ be an elliptic tensor, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\xi}) := \mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\xi}:\boldsymbol{\xi}\geq\lambda|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 \quad \forall\boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times D}$$

with $\lambda>0$ and $B\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ open and bounded with Lipschitz boundary (for instance a ball). We call a function $\mathbf{u}\in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ with

$$\int_B \mathcal{A}(\nabla\mathbf{u},\nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi})\,\mathrm{d}x = 0 \quad \forall\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in C^\infty_0(B) \tag{1.1}$$

Email address: breit@math.lmu.de (Dominic Breit)

$\mathcal{A}$-harmonic on B. On the other, if we have for some $\delta \ll 1$

$$\Big| \int_B \mathcal{A}(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \, \mathrm{d}x \Big| \leq \delta \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(B) \tag{1.2}$$

i.e. the integral $\int_B \mathcal{A}(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \, \mathrm{d}x$ is small (compared to some norms of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$) we call $\mathbf{u}$ almost $\mathcal{A}$-harmonic. De Giorgi's observation in [De1] was the fact that almost harmonic functions can be approximated by harmonic ones. Precisely, if $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(B)$ is almost harmonic it can be approximated with respect to the $L^2(\Omega)$-norm. Since this usually does not suffice to show regularity one needs in addition a Caccioppoli inequality. It bounds the $L^2(\Omega)$-norm of the gradient in terms of the $L^2(B)$-norm of the function itself. Both together - harmonic approximation and Caccioppoli-inequality - finally yield local $C^{1,\alpha}$-estimates.
Since the pioneering work of De Giorgi a lot of improvement and generalizations have been done (see [DM2] for an overview). For instance the p-harmonic approximation was introduced in [DM1] and gives a nonlinear variant considering the p-Laplace equation

$$\int_B |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \mathbf{u} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Here almost solutions in $W^{1,p}(B)$ can be approximated by solutions in the $L^p(B)$-sense. This technique has been improved in [DSV] where an approximation in $W^{1,s}(B)$ for all $s < p$ is possible. Moreover, it applies also to the more general setting of Orlicz spaces. A crucial tool for the approximation result in [DSV] is the Lipschitz truncation method (originally developed in [AF]; it allows to approximate a Sobolev function by a Lipschitz continuous function in a way that they are equal on a large set whose size can be controlled). Based on the $\mathcal{A}$-harmonic approximation and its generalizations a lot of (partial-) regularity results for nonlinear PDE's have been shown. A nice overview about regularity regularity and irregularity for elliptic problems is given in [Mi].

Let us turn to fluid mechanics. The p-Stokes problem - describing the slow stationary flow of a Non-Newtonian fluid [AM],[BirAH] - reads as follows: for a given volume force $\mathbf{f} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ find $(\mathbf{v}, \pi)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})) &= \nabla \pi - \mathbf{f} \qquad \text{in } B, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} &= 0 \qquad \text{in } B, \\ \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{v}_0 \qquad \text{on } \partial B. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Here the nonlinear tensor $\mathbf{S}$ satisfies the p-growth condition

$$\lambda(1 + |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2 \leq D\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \leq \Lambda(1 + |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2$$

for $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \tau \in \mathbb{S}^d$. The problem firstly appears in the mathematical literature in the work of Ladyshenskaya and Lions (see [La1]-[La3] and [Li]). Regularity results are shown in [MNRR], [Fu2], [KMS],[NW], [DK] and others. In contrast to classical problems in nonlinear PDEs like the p-Laplace equation we have only control over the symmetric part $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) := \frac{1}{2}\big(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T\big)$ of the gradient and more important we have the side condition $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$. A corresponding approximation theory is developed in [BrDF] and approximates an almost solutions $\mathbf{v} \in W^{1,p}_{0,\operatorname{div}}(B)$ to the $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem by a solution in the $W^{1,s}$-sense for all $s < p$. Let us consider the function spaces

$$\mathrm{LD}(B) := \big\{ \mathbf{u} \in L^1(B) : \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \in L^1(B) \big\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{LD}_0(B) &:= \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathrm{LD}(B) : \ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial B} = 0\}, \\ \mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{div}}(B) &:= \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathrm{LD}(B) : \ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0\}, \\ \mathrm{LD}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B) &:= \mathrm{LD}_0(B) \cap \mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{div}}(B),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}|_{\partial B}$ has to be understood in the $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial B)$-sense (see [ST]). A function $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{div}}(B)$ is a solution to the $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem iff

$$\int_B \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B). \tag{1.4}$$

It is an almost solution if we have

$$\Big| \fint_B \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x \Big| \leq \delta \fint_B |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|\,\mathrm{d}x \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\|_\infty \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B) \tag{1.5}$$

with some $\delta \ll 1$. Note that the formulation above is the weakest possible as it only requires $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{LD}(B)$. The approximation result in [BrDF] is based on the solenoidal Lipschitz truncation combined with results developed in [DieLSV] for the $\mathcal{A}$-harmonic approximation in Orlicz spaces.
In order to study regularity properties of nonlinear parabolic equations Duzaar and Mingione [DuzM2] introduce the $\mathcal{A}$-caloric approximation which compares almost solutions to the $\mathcal{A}$-heat equation with its solutions. We call a function $\mathbf{u} \in L^1(I; W^{1,1}(B))$ with

$$\int_Q \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi})\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_0(Q) \tag{1.6}$$

$\mathcal{A}$-caloric on $Q := I \times B$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded interval. If the left hand side is small we talk about an almost $\mathcal{A}$-caloric function. In [DuzM2] it is shown that every almost $\mathcal{A}$-caloric function $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(I; W^{1,p}(B))$ can be approximated by a $\mathcal{A}$-caloric function in the parabolic L^p-sense. This is used to establish partial regularity results for nonlinear parabolic systems (see [DuMiSt] for an overview). Despite the elliptic setting there is not so much literature available.
The aim of the present paper is to develop an approximation theory for non-stationary problems in fluid mechanics in the fashion of the $\mathcal{A}$-caloric approximation. Let us be a little bit more precise: A function $\mathbf{v} \in L^1(I; \mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{div}}(B))$ is a solution to the non-stationary $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem iff

$$\int_Q \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q). \tag{1.7}$$

It is an almost solution if we have

$$\Big| \fint_Q \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x \Big| \leq \delta \fint_Q |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|\,\mathrm{d}x \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\|_\infty \tag{1.8}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)$ with some $\delta \ll 1$. The main results of this paper (see Theorem 4.2 in section 4) states that every almost solution $\mathbf{v} \in L^p(I; W^{1,p}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B))$ to the non-stationary $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem can be approximated by a solution in the $L^s(I; W^{1,s}(B))$-sense for all $s < p$. So, it extend the result from [BrDF] to non-stationary flows. Again we are able to work with the weakest formulation of almost solutions. The main tool is the solenoidal Lipschitz truncation for parabolic PDE's which was recently

developed in [BrDS]. We present a version of it which is appropriate for our purposes in section 3. In addition to the results from [BrDS] we show an approximation result for the distributional time derivative of the Lipschitz truncation (see Thm. 3.1 d)). In section 2 we present an L^q-theory for the non-stationary $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem in divergence form. It might not be surprising for experts but it is hard to find a reference in literature.
The investigation of the parabolic $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes approximation is a first step towards a partial regularity theory for nonlinear Stokes systems (results for the nonstationary p-Navier-Stokes are shown in [Se] under certain restrictions on p). However the second step is still missing: an appropriate Caccioppoli-type inequality. For the heat equation (and also for parabolic systems with quadratic growth) it can be shown that solutions satisfy

$$\sup_{I_r} \fint_{B_r} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \fint_{Q_r} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \leq c \fint_{Q_{2r}} \left|\frac{\mathbf{u}}{r}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.9}$$

Here $Q_r = I_r \times B_r$ is a parabolic cube with radius r. A corresping version for parabolic systems with p-growth also exsists (see [DuMiSt]). Due to the appearance of the pressure term it seems to be not possible to show such an estimate for Stokes systems - not even in the linear case. Some modifications of (1.9) can be proven, but the known versions do not suffice to show partial regularity.

2. L^q-theory for the $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes system

The aim of this section is to present regularity results for the (non-stationary) $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes system depending on the right hand side (in divergence form). Let us fix for this section a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with C^2-boundary and a time interval $(0,T)$. The $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes problem (in the pressure-free formulation) with right hand side $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\Omega)$ reads as: find $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{LD}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B)$ such that

$$\int_\Omega \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \mathbf{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega). \tag{2.1}$$

The right hand side can also be given in divergence form, i.e.

$$\int_\Omega \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \mathbf{F} : \nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega) \tag{2.2}$$

for $\mathbf{F} \in L^1(B)$. For certain purposes it is convenient to discuss the problem with a fixed divergence. To be precise for $g \in L^1_0(\Omega)$, where

$$L^q_0(\Omega) := \Big\{\mathbf{u} \in L^q(\Omega) : \int_\Omega f\,\mathrm{d}x = 0\Big\},$$

we are seeking for a function $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{LD}_0(B)$ with $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v} = g$ satisfying (2.1) or (2.2). We have the following L^q-estimates.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded C^2-domain and $1 < q < \infty$.*

a) Let $\mathbf{f} \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $g \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega g\,\mathrm{d}x = 0$. Then there is a unique solution $\mathbf{w} \in W^{2,q} \cap W^{1,q}_0(\Omega)$ to (2.1) such that $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v} = g$ and

$$\fint_B |\nabla^2\mathbf{w}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x \le c\fint_\Omega |\mathbf{f}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x + c\fint_\Omega |\nabla g|^q\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

where c only depends on $\mathcal{A}$ and q.

b) Let $\mathbf{F} \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^q_0(\Omega)$. Then there is a unique solution $\mathbf{w} \in W^{1,q}_0(B)$ to (2.2) such that $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v} = g$ and

$$\fint_\Omega |\nabla\mathbf{w}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x \le c\fint_\Omega |\mathbf{F}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x + c\fint_\Omega |g|^q\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

where c only depends on $\mathcal{A}$ and q.

In case $\mathcal{A} = I$ both parts follow from [AmrGi], Thm 4.1. However, the main tool in [AmrGi] is the theory from [AgmDN1, AgmDN2] where very general linear systems are investigated. Hence it is clear that the results also hold in case of an arbitrary elliptic tensor $\mathcal{A}$.

Now we turn to the parabolic problem and the first result is a local L^q-estimate for weak solutions. In case of the $\mathcal{A}$-heat system this follows from the continuity of the corresponding semigroup (see [Sh]). It is also non for the non-stationary Stokes-system (see [So] and [Gi]) but not in our setting.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{f} \in L^q(Q_0)$ *for some* $q > 2$*, where* $Q_0 := (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ *and let* $\mathbf{v} \in L^1((0,T);\mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ *be a weak solution to*

$$\int_{Q_0} \mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_{Q_0}\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{Q_0}\mathbf{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{2.3}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$*. Then we have* $\nabla^2\mathbf{v} \in L^q_{loc}(Q_0)$ *and there holds*

$$\int_0^T\int_B |\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c\int_{Q_0}|\mathbf{f}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$*.*

Proof. The main ingredient is the proof of the following auxiliary result which has been used in a similar version in [ByWa].
We say that $Q' = I'\times B' \subset \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3$ is a parabolic cylinder if $r_{I'} = r^2_{B'}$. For $\kappa > 0$ we define the scaled cylinder $\kappa Q' := (\kappa I')\times(\kappa B')$. By $\mathcal{Q}$ we denote the set of all parabolic cylinders. We define the parabolic maximal operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_s$ for $s \in [1,\infty)$ by

$$(\mathcal{M}f)(t,x) := \sup_{Q'\in\mathcal{Q}\,:\,(t,x)\in Q'} \fint_{Q'} |f(\tau,y)|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}y,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_s f(t,x) := \big(\mathcal{M}(|f|^s)(t,x)\big)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

It is standard[Ste] that for all $q \in (s,\infty]$

$$\|\mathcal{M}_s f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \le c\,\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}. \tag{2.4}$$

i) We start with interior estimates. Let $Q_r := Q_r(x_0,t_0) := (t_0-r^2,t_0+r^2)\times B_r(x_0)$ be a parabolic cube such that $4Q_r \subset Q_0$ then there holds: there is a constant $N_1 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that[1]

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|)^2 > N_1^2\}\big) \ge \varepsilon\mathcal{L}^{d+1}(Q_r) \\ &\Rightarrow Q_r \subset \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2) > 1\} \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2) > \delta^2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Let us assume for simpicity that $r = 1$. In fact, we will establish (2.5) by showing

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_1 \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2) \le 1\} \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2) \le \delta^2\} \neq \emptyset \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_1 \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla\mathbf{v}|)^2 > N_1^2\}\big) < \varepsilon\mathcal{L}^{d+1}(Q_1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and applying a simple scaling argument. In order to show (2.6) we compare $\mathbf{v}$ with a solution to a homogeneous problem on $Q_4 = (t_0^4, t_0^4+8^2)\times B_4(x_0^4) \subset Q_0$ (with the same boundary data) which is smooth in the interior. So let us define $\mathbf{h}$ as the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\mathbf{h} - \operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{h})) &= \nabla\pi_{\mathbf{h}} \quad \text{in } Q_4, \\ \operatorname{div}\mathbf{h} &= 0 \quad \text{in } Q_4, \\ \mathbf{h} &= \mathbf{v} \quad \text{on } I_4\times\partial B_4, \\ \mathbf{h}(t_0^4,\cdot) &= \mathbf{v}(t_0^4,\cdot) \quad \text{in } B_4. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

[1] Since $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0,T;L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ the standard interior regularity theory implies $\nabla^2\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0,T;L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\partial_t\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0,T;L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

We test the difference of both equations with $\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}$. This yields by the ellipticity of $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{t\in I_4}\int_{B_4}|\mathbf{v}(t)-\mathbf{h}(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{Q_4}|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{h})|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ \leq\ c\int_{Q_4}|\mathbf{f}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t+\ c\int_{Q_4}|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

An application of Korn's inequality and Gronwall's lemma implies

$$\sup_{t\in I_4}\int_{B_4}|\mathbf{v}(t)-\mathbf{h}(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{Q_4}|\nabla\mathbf{v}-\nabla\mathbf{h}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq\ c\int_{Q_4}|\mathbf{f}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.8}$$

Now we choose a cut off function $\eta\in C_0^\infty(B_4)$ with $0\leq\eta\leq 1$ and $\eta\equiv 1$ on B_3. We test the equation for $\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}$ with $\operatorname{curl}(\eta^2\operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{h}-\mathbf{h}))$; cf. [DK]. We gain

$$\sup_{t\in I_4}\int_{B_4}\eta^2|\operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{Q_4}\eta^2|\nabla\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})-\nabla\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{h})|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ \leq\ c\int_{Q_4}\mathbf{f}\cdot\operatorname{curl}(\eta^2\operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{h}-\mathbf{h}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ +\ c(\nabla\eta)\int_{Q_4}|\nabla\mathbf{v}-\nabla\mathbf{h}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Estimating the term involving $\mathbf{f}$ by

$$\int_{Q_4}\mathbf{f}\cdot\operatorname{curl}(\eta^2\operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ \leq\ c(\kappa)\int_{Q_4}|\mathbf{f}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t+\kappa\int_{Q_4}\eta^2|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}-\nabla^2\mathbf{h}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t+c(\nabla\eta)\int_{Q_4}|\nabla\mathbf{v}-\nabla\mathbf{h}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t,$$

where $\kappa>0$ is arbitrary and using the inequality $|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}|\leq\ c\,|\nabla\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})|$ as well as (2.8) shows

$$\sup_{t\in I_4}\int_{B_3}|\operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{I_4}\int_{B_3}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}-\nabla^2\mathbf{h}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq\ c\int_{Q_4}|\mathbf{f}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, let us assume that $(2.6)_1$ holds. Then there is a point $(t_0,x_0)\in Q_1$ such that

$$\fint_{Q_r(t_0,x_0)}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq 1,\qquad\fint_{Q_r(t_0,x_0)}|\mathbf{f}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq\delta^2\quad\forall r>0. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $Q_4\subset Q_6(t_0,x_0)$ we have

$$\int_{Q_4}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq c,\quad\int_{Q_4}|\mathbf{f}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq\ c\delta^2. \tag{2.11}$$

As $\mathbf{h}$ is smooth we know that

$$N_0^2:=\sup_{Q_3}|\nabla^2\mathbf{h}|^2<\infty \tag{2.12}$$

and can conclude that

$$Q_1 \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > N_1^2\} \subset Q_1 \cap \{\mathcal{M}(\chi_{Q_3}|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{h}|^2) > N_0^2\} \tag{2.13}$$

if we set $N_1^2 := \max\{4N_0^2, 2^{d+2}\}$. To establish (2.13) suppose that

$$(t,x) \in Q_1 \cap \{\mathcal{M}(\chi_{Q_3}|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{h}|^2) \le N_0^2\}. \tag{2.14}$$

If $r \le 2$ we have $Q_r(t,x) \subset Q_3$ and gain by (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{Q_r(t,x)} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\qquad \le 2 \fint_{Q_r(t,x)} \chi_{Q_3}|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{h}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + 2 \fint_{Q_r(t,x)} \chi_{Q_3}|\nabla^2 \mathbf{h}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\qquad \le 4N_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If $r \ge 2$ we have by (2.10)

$$\fint_{Q_r(t,x)} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le 2^{d+2} \fint_{Q_{2r}(t_0,x_0)} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le 2^{d+2}.$$

Combining the both cases yields (2.13) which implies together with the continuity of the maximal function on L^2, (2.9) and (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_1 \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > N_1^2\}\big) &\le \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_1 \cap \{\mathcal{M}(\chi_{Q_3}|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{h}|^2) > N_0^2\}\big) \\
&\le \frac{c}{N_0^2} \int_{Q_3} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{h}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\le \frac{c}{N_0^2} \int_{Q_3} |\mathbf{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le \frac{c}{N_0^2}\delta^2 \\
&= \varepsilon \mathcal{L}^{d+1}(Q_1),
\end{aligned}$$

choosing $\delta := c^{-1/2} N_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. So we have shown (2.6) which yields (2.5) by a scaling argument. If $(2.5)_1$ holds then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|)^2 > N_1^2\}\big) \\
&\qquad \le \varepsilon \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\Big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > 1\} \cup \{\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2) > \delta^2\}\Big) \\
&\qquad \le \varepsilon\Big(\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > 1\}\big) + \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2) > \delta^2\}\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the equation for $\mathbf{v}$ by some small number $\varrho = \varrho(\|\mathbf{f}\|_q, \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}\|_2)$ we can assume that

$$\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > N_1^2\}\big) < \varepsilon \tag{2.15}$$

By induction we can establish that

$$\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|)^2 > N_1^{2k}\}\big)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon^k \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > 1\} + c \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon^i \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2) > \delta^2 N_1^{2(k-i)}\}\big).$$

In the induction step one has to introduce $\mathbf{u}_1 := \frac{\mathbf{u}}{N_1}$ which is a solution to the $\mathcal{A}$-Sokes problem with right hand side $\mathbf{f}_1 := \frac{\mathbf{f}}{N_1}$. Since $\mathbf{f} \in L^q(Q_0)$ and $q > 2$ we have $\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2) \in L^{q/2}(Q_0)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_r} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\leq \int_{Q_r} |\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2)|^{\frac{q}{2}} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (N_1^q)^{2k} \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > N_1^{2k}\}\big) \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (N_1^q)^{2k} \varepsilon^k \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2) > 1\}\big) \\
&+ c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (N_1^q)^{2k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon^i \mathcal{L}^{d+1}\big(Q_r \cap \{\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2) > \delta^2 N_1^{q(k-i)}\}\big) \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon N_1^q)^{2k} \Big(1 + \int_{Q_r} |\mathcal{M}(|\mathbf{f}|^2)|^{q/2} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose $\varepsilon N_1^q < 1$ the sum is converging and we have $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} \in L^q(Q_r)$. Since the mapping $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$ is linear we gain the desired estimate

$$\int_{Q_r} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \leq c \int_{Q_0} |\mathbf{f}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.16}$$

ii) Now let Q_1 be a cube such that $4Q_1 \cap (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^d \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, assume that $Q_1 \cap Q_0 \neq \emptyset$. We consider the solution $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ to

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{h}} - \operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbf{h}})) &= \nabla \pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}} \qquad \text{in } \tilde{Q}_4, \\
\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{h}} &= 0 \qquad \text{in } \tilde{Q}_4, \\
\tilde{\mathbf{h}} &= \mathbf{v} \qquad \text{on } \tilde{I}_4 \times \partial B_4, \\
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(t_0^4, \cdot) &= 0 \qquad \text{in } B_4,
\end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

where $\tilde{I}_m := I_m \cap (0, T)$ and $\tilde{Q}_m := \tilde{I}_m \times B_m$. We can establish a variant of (2.9) on $\tilde{Q}_4$. Now we have $\sup_{\tilde{Q}_3} |\nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{h}}|^2 < \infty$ due to the smooth initial datum of $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ (recall that $\mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = 0$ a.e.). So we can finish the proof as before and gain $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} \in L^q(Q_1)$. This implies again (2.16).

iii) The situation $4Q_1 \cap [T, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \neq \emptyset$ is uncritical again and we can assume that ii) and iii) do not occur for the same cube (by choosing sufficiently small cubes)

Covering the set $(0, T) \times B$ by smaller cubes and combing i)-iii) yield the desired estimate. □

Corollary 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 we have for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the following estimates.*

a) There is c independent of T such that

$$\int_0^T \int_B \left(\Big| \frac{\mathbf{v}}{T} \Big|^q + \Big| \frac{\nabla \mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{T}} \Big|^q + |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^q \right) \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \, c \int_{Q_0} |\mathbf{f}|^q \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

b) We have $\partial_t \mathbf{v} \in L^q(0,T; L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ together with

$$\int_0^T \int_B |\partial_t \mathbf{v}|^q \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \, \leq \, c \int_{Q_0} |\mathbf{f}|^q \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

where c does not depend on T.

c) There is $\pi \in L^q((0,T), W^{1,q}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t - \int_{Q_0} \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ = \int_{Q_0} \pi \, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{Q_0} \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty([0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

d) There is c independent of T such that

$$\int_0^T \int_B \left(\Big| \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{T}} \Big|^q + |\nabla \pi|^q \right) \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \, c \int_{Q_0} |\mathbf{f}|^q \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Proof. The estimate in a) is a simple scaling argument. Having a solution $\mathbf{v}$ defined on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ we gain a solution $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$ on $(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ by setting

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(s,x) := \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{v}(Ts, \sqrt{T}x).$$

Now we apply Theorem 2.2 to $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$. The constant which appears is independent of T. Transforming back to $\mathbf{v}$ yields the claimed inequality.
b) For $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_0)$ with $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(t,x) = \tau(t)\boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$ where $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(G)$ ($G \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t &= \int_0^T \partial_t \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{v} \cdot \big(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathrm{div}} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\Psi} \big) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^T \partial_t \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathrm{div}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{Q_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathrm{div}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathrm{div}} := \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \nabla \Delta_G^{-1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi} := \Delta_G^{-1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$.[2] Here we took into account $\Psi|_{\partial G} = 0$ as well as $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$. Using $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} \in L^2(0,T; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ we proceed by

$$\int_{Q_0} \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T \int_G \big(\mathbf{f} - \operatorname{div} \mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \big) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathrm{div}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t$$

[2] Here Δ_G^{-1} is the solution operator to the Laplace equation with zero boundary data on ∂G.

$$\leq c\left(\int_0^T\int_G \left(|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^q+\mathbf{f}|^q\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_0^T\int_G|\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathrm{div}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}$$
$$\leq c\left(\int_{Q_0}|\mathbf{f}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_0^T\int_G|\boldsymbol{\varphi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}.$$

In the last step we used the estimate from Theorem 2.2 and continuity of $\nabla\Delta_G^{-1}\operatorname{div}$ on $L^{q'}(G)$. Duality implies $\partial_t\mathbf{v}\in L^q(0,T;L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and we can introduce the pressure function $\pi\in L^q(0,T;L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ as claimed in b) by De Rahm's Theorem. Using the equation for $\mathbf{v}$ and the estimates in a) and b) we gain

$$\int_Q|\nabla\pi|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq c\int_{Q_0}|\mathbf{f}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

The estimate for π in d) follows again by scaling. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\mathbf{f}\in L^q(Q_0^+)$ for some $q>2$ where $Q_0^+:=(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d_+$, $\mathbb{R}^d_+=\mathbb{R}^d\cap[x_d>0]$, and let $\mathbf{v}\in L^1((0,T);\mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d_+))$ with $\mathbf{v}|_{x_d=0}=0$ be a weak solution to*

$$\int_{Q_0^+}\mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t-\int_{Q_0^+}\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x=\int_{Q_0^+}\mathbf{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{2.18}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^d_+)$. Then the results from Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 hold for $\mathbf{v}$ for all half balls $B^+(z)\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ with $z_d=0$.[3]

Proof. We will show a variant of the L^q-estimate from Theorem 2.2 on half balls B^+, i.e.

$$\int_0^T\int_{B^+}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq c\int_0^T\int_{4B^+}|\mathbf{f}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.19}$$

From this we can follow estimates in the fashion of Corollary 2.3 as done there. In order to establish (2.19) we will proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 replacing all balls with half ball. So let $Q_1\subset\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that $4Q_1\subset Q_0$ (the other situation can be shown along the modifications indicated at the end of the proof of Theorem 2.2). Moreover, assume that $Q_1=I_1\times B_1(z)$ where $z_d=0$. We compare $\mathbf{v}$ with the unique solution $\mathbf{h}^+$ to

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t\mathbf{h}^+-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{h}^+))&=\nabla\pi_{\mathbf{h}^+}&&\text{in } Q_4^+,\\ \operatorname{div}\mathbf{h}^+&=0&&\text{in } Q_4^+,\\ \mathbf{h}^+&=\mathbf{v}&&\text{on } I_4\times\partial B_4^+,\\ \mathbf{h}^+(0,\cdot)&=\mathbf{v}(0,\cdot)&&\text{in } B_4^+.\end{cases} \tag{2.20}$$

We gain a version of the estimate (2.8) on half-balls. In fact, there holds

$$\sup_{t\in I_4}\int_{B_4^+}|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}^+|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{Q_4^+}|\nabla\mathbf{v}-\nabla\mathbf{h}^+|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\leq c\int_{Q_4^+}|\mathbf{f}|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.21}$$

[3] $B^+(z):=B(z)\cap[x_d>0]$

Unfortunately, we cannot insert $\operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})$ as $\partial_d(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})$ is not necessarily zero on $[x_d=0]$. So we need some more subtle arguments. First we insert $\partial_t(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})$ which yields

$$\int_{Q_4^+} |\partial_t(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}^+)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \sup_{t\in I_4} \int_{B_4^+} |\nabla\mathbf{v}-\nabla\mathbf{h}^+|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le c \int_{Q_4^+} |\mathbf{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.22}$$

We can introduce the pressure terms $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}, \pi_{\mathbf{h}^+} \in L^q(I_4, L_0^q(B_4^+))$ in the equations for $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{h}^+$ and show

$$\int_{Q_4^+} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{h}^+}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le c \int_{Q_4^+} |\mathbf{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.23}$$

Estimate (2.23) is a consequence of the continuity of $\operatorname{Bog} : L_0^2(B_4^+) \to W_0^{1,2}(B_4^+)$ (see [Bog]) and (2.22): for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_4^+)$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_4^+} (\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{h}^+})\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &= \int_{Q_4^+} (\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{h}^+}) \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Bog}(\varphi - \varphi_{B_4^+}) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&= \int_{Q_4^+} \mathcal{A}\big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}^+), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\big(\operatorname{Bog}(\varphi - \varphi_{B_4^+})\big)\big) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{Q_4^+} \mathbf{f}\cdot\operatorname{Bog}(\varphi - \varphi_{B_4^+})\big) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\quad - \int_{Q_4^+} \partial_t(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}^+)\cdot\operatorname{Bog}(\varphi - \varphi_{B_4^+}) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\le c\Big(\|\nabla(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}^+)\|_2 + \|\mathbf{f}\|_2 + \|\partial_t(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}^+)\|_2\Big)\big\|\nabla\operatorname{Bog}(\varphi - (\varphi)_{B_4^+})\big\|_2 \\
&\le c\bigg(\int_{Q_4^+} |\mathbf{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg(\int_{Q_4^+} |\varphi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we insert $\partial_\gamma(\eta^2\partial_\gamma(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}))$ for $\gamma \in \{1,...,d-1\}$, where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_4)$ with $0 \le \eta \le 1$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on B_3. This yields together with (2.21)-(2.23)

$$\int_{Q_3^+} |\tilde{\nabla}\nabla(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le c \int_{Q_4^+} |\mathbf{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t, \tag{2.24}$$

where $\tilde{\nabla} := (\partial_1, ..., \partial_{d-1})$. Finally, the only term which is missing is $\partial_d^2(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})$. On account of $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h}) = 0$ we gain (cf. [BKR])

$$|\partial_d^2(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})| \le c\big(|\tilde{\nabla}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{h}^+})| + |\tilde{\nabla}\nabla(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{h})| + |\mathbf{f}|\big). \tag{2.25}$$

So we have to estimate derivatives of the pressure. In fact we have

$$\int_{Q_3^+} |\tilde{\nabla}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{h}^+})|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le c \int_{Q_4^+} |\mathbf{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.26}$$

We can show this similarly to the proof of (2.23) replacing φ by $\partial_\gamma\varphi$ and using (2.24). Combining (2.24)- (2.26) implies

$$\int_{Q_3^+} |\nabla^2\mathbf{v} - \nabla^2\mathbf{h}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \le c \int_{Q_4^+} |\mathbf{f}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Moreover, we know $\sup_{Q_3^+} |\nabla^2\mathbf{h}^+|^2 < \infty$. Note that $\mathbf{h}^+ = 0$ on $Q_3 \cap [x_d = 0]$. This allows to show $\nabla^2\mathbf{v} \in L^q(Q_1^+)$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $Q := (0,T)\times\Omega$ with a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ having a C^2-boundary. Let $\mathbf{f} \in L^q(Q)$ for some $q > 2$, where $q \in (1,\infty)$. Then there is a unique weak solution $\mathbf{v} \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(B)) \cap L^q(0,T;W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega))$ to*

$$\int_Q \mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_Q \mathbf{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{2.27}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([0,T)\times\Omega)$ such that $\nabla^2\mathbf{v} \in L^q(Q)$. Moreover, we have

$$\int_Q |\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c\int_Q |\mathbf{f}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Proof. Due to the local L^q-theory for the whole space problem and the half-space problem which follow from Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 (with the right scaling in T) the proof follows exactly as in [So], Thm. 4.1, in the case $\mathcal{A} = I$. Note that L^q-estimates for the stationary problem on bounded domains with given divergence are stated in Lemma 2.1. □

In order to treat problems with right hand side in divergence form we consider the $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes operator

$$\mathscr{A}_q := -\mathcal{P}_q \operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}\big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\cdot)\big),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_q$ is the Helmholtz projection from $L^q(B)$ into $L^q_{\mathrm{div}}(B)$. The latter one is defined by

$$L^q_{\mathrm{div}}(B) := \overline{C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B)}^{\|\cdot\|_q}.$$

The Helmholtz-projection $\mathcal{P}_q\mathbf{u}$ of a function $\mathbf{u} \in L^q(B)$ can be defined as $\mathcal{P}_q\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{u} - \nabla h$, where h is the solution to the Neumann-problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta h = \operatorname{div}\mathbf{u} & \text{on} \quad B, \\ \mathcal{N}_B\cdot(\nabla h - \mathbf{u}) = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial B. \end{cases}$$

The $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes operator $\mathscr{A}_q$ enjoys the same properties than the Stokes operator $\mathbb{A}_q$ (see for instance [GalSS]).

For the $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes operator it holds $\mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A}_q) = W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}} \cap W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{2,q} \le c_1\|\mathscr{A}_q\mathbf{u}\|_q \le c_2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{2,q}, \quad \mathbf{u}\in\mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A}_q), \tag{2.28}$$

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}_q\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{w}\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathscr{A}_{q'}\mathbf{w}\,\mathrm{d}x \quad \mathbf{u}\in D(\mathscr{A}_q),\ \mathbf{w}\in D(\mathscr{A}_{q'}). \tag{2.29}$$

Inequality (2.28) is a consequence of Lemma 2.1 a) and the continuity of $\mathcal{P}_q$.
Since $\mathscr{A}_q$ is positive its root $\mathscr{A}_q^{\frac12}$ is well-defined with $\mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A}_q^{\frac12}) = W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{1,q} \le c_1\|\mathscr{A}_q^{\frac12}\mathbf{u}\|_q \le c_2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{1,q}, \quad \mathbf{u}\in\mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A}_q^{\frac12}), \tag{2.30}$$

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}_q^{\frac12}\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{w}\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac12}\mathbf{w}\,\mathrm{d}x \quad \mathbf{u}\in D(\mathscr{A}_q^{\frac12}),\ \mathbf{w}\in D(\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac12}). \tag{2.31}$$

Finally, the inverse operator $\mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} : L^q_{\mathrm{div}}(\Omega) \to W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega)$ is defined and it holds

$$\|\nabla \mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u}\|_q \le c\|\mathbf{u}\|_q, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{2.32}$$

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathscr{A}_{q'}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}\,\mathrm{d}x \quad \mathbf{u} \in D(\mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}}),\ \mathbf{w} \in D(\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{2.33}$$

From the definition of the square root of an positive self-adjoint operator follows also that

$$\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac{1}{2}} : W^{2,q'} \cap W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega) \to W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega),$$
$$\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{-\frac{1}{2}} : W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega) \to W^{2,q'} \cap W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega),$$

together with

$$\|\nabla \mathscr{A}_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u}\|_q \le c\|\mathbf{u}\|_{2,q}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in W^{2,q'} \cap W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega), \tag{2.34}$$

$$\|\nabla \mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u}\|_q \le c\|\mathbf{u}\|_q, \quad \mathbf{u} \in W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega). \tag{2.35}$$

Finally we state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $Q := (0,T) \times \Omega$ with a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ having a C^2-boundary. Let $\mathbf{F} \in L^q(Q)$, where $q \in (1,\infty)$. There is a unique solution $\mathbf{w} \in L^q(0,T; W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega))$ to*

$$\int_Q \mathbf{w} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_Q \mathbf{F} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \tag{2.36}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([0,T) \times \Omega)$. Moreover we have

$$\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c \fint_Q |\mathbf{F}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t,$$

where c only depends on $\mathcal{A}$ and q.

Proof. Let us first assume that $q > 2$. Then Theorem 2.5 applies. We set $\mathbf{f} := \mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}$ which is defined via the duality

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}_q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \mathbf{F} : \nabla \mathscr{A}_{q'}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x, \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega),$$

using (2.33). So we gain $\mathbf{f} \in L^q_{\mathrm{div}}(\Omega)$ with

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_q \le c\,\|\mathbf{F}\|_q. \tag{2.37}$$

We define $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in L^q(0,T; W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega))$ as the unique solution to

$$\int_Q \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_Q \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{2.38}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([0,T)\times\Omega)$. Theorem 2.5 yields $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in L^q(0,T;W^{2,q}(\Omega))$ and

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\|_{2,q} \le c\,\|\mathbf{f}\|_q. \tag{2.39}$$

We want to return to the original problem and set $\mathbf{w} := \mathscr{A}_q^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ thus $\mathbf{w} \in L^q(0,T;W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega))$. Since $\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac{1}{2}} : W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}} \cap W^{2,q'}(\Omega) \to W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega)$ we can replace $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ by $\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in (2.38). This implies using (2.31) and the definition of $\mathbf{f}$

$$\int_Q \mathbf{w}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_Q \operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})) : \mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_Q \mathbf{F} : \nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)$. On account of $\mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega)$ and $\mathscr{A}_q^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega)$ we gain due to (2.31)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q \operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})) : \mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &= \int_Q \mathscr{A}_q\tilde{\mathbf{w}} : \mathscr{A}_{q'}^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_Q \mathscr{A}_q^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\mathbf{w}} : \mathscr{A}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &= \int_Q \mathbf{w}\cdot\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = -\int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\end{aligned}$$

using (2.31) and $\mathbf{w} \in W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega)$. This shows that $\mathbf{w}$ is the unique solution to (4.2). Moreover, we obtain the desired regularity estimate via

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q |\nabla\mathbf{w}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\le c\int_Q |\mathscr{A}_q^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{w}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = c\int_Q |\mathscr{A}_q\tilde{\mathbf{w}}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le c\int_Q |\nabla^2\tilde{\mathbf{w}}|^q \le c\int_Q |\mathbf{f}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le c\int_Q |\mathbf{F}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\end{aligned}$$

as a consequence of (2.30), the definition of $\mathbf{w}$, (2.28), (2.39), and (2.37). A simple scaling argument shows that the inequality is independent of the diameter of I and B. So we have shown the claim for $q > 2$.

The case $q = 2$ follows easily from a priori estimates and Korn's inequality. So let us assume that $q < 2$. Duality arguments show that

$$\fint_Q |\nabla\mathbf{w}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \sup_{\mathbf{G}\in L^{q'}(Q)}\left[\fint_Q \nabla\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{G}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q |\mathbf{G}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right].$$

For a given $\mathbf{G} \in L^{q'}(Q)$ let $\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{G}$ be the unique $L^{q'}(0,T;W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(\Omega))$-solution to

$$-\fint_Q \mathbf{z}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_Q \mathbf{G} : \nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([0,T)\times\Omega)$. Its existence together with the estimate

$$\fint_Q |\nabla\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{G}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c\fint_Q |\mathbf{G}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

follows from the first part of the proof as $q' > 2$. This, the density of $C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)$ and $\partial_t \mathbf{z} \in L^{q'}(0,T;W^{-1,q'}_{\mathrm{div}}(\Omega))$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\quad \le c \sup_{\mathbf{G}\in L^{q'}(Q)} \left[\fint_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{G}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q \partial_t \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{u}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{G}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \right] \\
&\quad \le c \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi}\in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)} \left[\fint_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The equation for $\mathbf{w}$ and Young's inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\le c \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi}\in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)} \left[\fint_Q \mathbf{F} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \right] \\
&\le c \fint_Q |\mathbf{F}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the claim. □

3. Solenoidal Lipschitz truncation

The purpose of the Lipschitz truncation technique is to approximate a Sobolev function $u \in W^{1,p}$ by λ-Lipschitz functions u_λ that coincides with u up to a set of small measure. The functions u_λ are constructed nonlinearly by modifying u on the level set of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of the gradient ∇u. This idea goes back to Acerbi and Fusco [AF]. Lipschitz truncations are used in various areas of analysis: calculus of variations, in the existence theory of partial differential equations, and in the regularity theory. We refer to [DMS] for a longer list of references. The Lipschitz truncation in the context of parabolic PDE's can be found in [KinL] and [DRW]. The solenoidal Lipschitz truncation in the non-stationary setting is introduced in [BrDS]. We present a version of it which is appropriate for our purposes. For notational simplicity we assume $d = 3$ and refer to [BrDS] (remark 2.1) for the higher dimensional case.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{u} \in L^\sigma(I; W^{1,\sigma}_{\mathrm{div}}(B)) \cap L^\infty(I; L^\sigma(B))$ with $\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{H}$ in $D'_{\mathrm{div}}(Q)$ with $\mathbf{H} \in L^\sigma(Q)$. Then for every $m_0 \gg 1$ and $\gamma > 0$ there exist $\lambda \in [2^{m_0}\gamma, 2^{2m_0}\gamma]$ and a function $\mathbf{u}_\lambda$ with the following properties*

(a) It holds $\mathbf{u}_\lambda \in L^\infty(I, W^{1,\infty}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B))$ with $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_\infty \le c\lambda$.

(b) We have

$$\lambda^\sigma \frac{\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}Q \cap \{\mathbf{u}_\lambda \neq \mathbf{u}\}\right)}{|Q|} \le \frac{c}{m_0}\left(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma}|\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right).$$

(c) It holds

$$\fint_Q |\mathbf{u}_\lambda|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c\left(\fint_Q |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + \fint_Q r_B^\sigma |\mathbf{H}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right),$$
$$\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{u}_\lambda|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c\left(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma}|\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right).$$

(d) We have $\partial_t(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\lambda) \in L^{\sigma'}(\frac{1}{2}I, W^{-1,\sigma'}(\frac{1}{2}B))$ and

$$-\fint_{\frac{1}{2}Q} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\lambda) \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\le c(\kappa) \int_{\frac{1}{2}Q} \chi_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} |\nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}|^{\sigma'} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \kappa\left(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma}|\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\mathbf{H}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{2}Q)$ and all $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in C_0^\infty(B_0)$ with$\chi_{\frac{1}{2}B_0} \le \gamma \le \chi_{B_0}$, where B_0 is a ball. Let A denote the annulus $B_0 \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_0$. Then according to [Bog] there exists a Bogovskiĭ operator Bog_A from[4] $C^\infty_{0,0}(A) \to C^\infty_0(A)$ which is

[4] $C^\infty_{0,0}$ is the subspace of C^∞_0 whose elements have mean value zero.

bounded from $L^q_0(A) \to W^{1,q}_0(A)$ for all $q \in (1,\infty)$ such that $\operatorname{div} \operatorname{Bog}_A = \mathrm{Id}$. Define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} := \gamma \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{Bog}_A(\operatorname{div}(\gamma \mathbf{u})) = \gamma \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{Bog}_A(\nabla \gamma \cdot \mathbf{u}).$$

Then $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = 0$ on $I_0 \times B_0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(t) \in W^{1,\sigma}_0(B_0)$, so we can extend $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ by zero in space to $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in L^\sigma(I, W^{1,\sigma}_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}$ on $I \times \frac{1}{2}B_0$, we have

$$\int_{Q_0} \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t = \int_{Q_0} \mathbf{H} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \qquad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\xi} \in C^\infty_{0,\operatorname{div}}(\tfrac{1}{2}Q_0). \tag{3.1}$$

Now, we define pointwise in time

$$\mathbf{w} := \operatorname{curl}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \operatorname{curl}^{-1}\big(\gamma \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{Bog}_{B_0 \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_0}(\nabla \gamma \cdot \mathbf{u})\big).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 in [BrDS]) and continuity properties of Bog that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{2}Q} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t &\le c \fint_Q |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t, \\ \int_{\frac{1}{2}Q} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{w}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t &\le c \bigg(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma} |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_Q |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For a ball $B' \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and a function $f \in L^s(B')$ we define $\Delta^{-2}_{B'} \Delta f$ as the weak solution $F \in W^{2,s}_0(B')$ of

$$\int_{B'} \Delta F \Delta \varphi \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{B'} f \Delta \varphi \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \text{for all } \varphi \in C^\infty_0(B'). \tag{3.3}$$

Then $f - \Delta(\Delta^{-2}_{B'} \Delta f)$ is harmonic on B'. We define $\mathbf{z}(t) := \mathbf{z}_{\frac{1}{2}Q_0}(t) = \Delta \Delta^{-2}_{\frac{1}{2}B_0} \Delta \mathbf{w}(t)$ for $t \in \frac{1}{2}I_0$, then

$$\int_{Q_0} \mathbf{z} \cdot \partial_t \Delta \boldsymbol{\psi} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t = \int_{Q_0} \mathbf{w} \cdot \partial_t \Delta \boldsymbol{\psi} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t = - \int_{Q_0} \mathbf{H} : \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\psi} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in C^\infty_0(\frac{1}{2}Q_0)$. Since $\Delta^{-2}_{\frac{1}{2}B_0} \mathbf{w}(t) \in W^{2,s}_0(\frac{1}{2}B_0)$, we can extend it by zero to a function from $W^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In this sense it is natural to extend $\mathbf{z}(t)$ by zero to a function $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. As a consequence of [BrDS, Lemma 2.3] and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{2}Q} |\nabla \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t &\le c \fint_Q |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t, \\ \int_{\frac{1}{2}Q} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t &\le c \bigg(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma} |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_Q |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \bigg), \\ \int_{\frac{1}{2}Q} |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t &\le c \int_Q |\mathbf{H}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

For $\lambda, \alpha > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$ we define

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda := \{\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\chi_{\frac{1}{3}Q_0} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{z}|) > \lambda\} \cup \{\alpha \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\chi_{\frac{1}{3}Q_0} |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|) > \lambda\}. \tag{3.6}$$

We decompose $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ into a family of parabolic Whitney cubes $(Q_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ an consider a decomposition of unity $(\varphi)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to it as done in [BrDS] after (2.11). We define

$$\mathcal{I} := \{i \,:\, Q_i \cap \tfrac{1}{4}Q_0 \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Taking λ large enough, the continuity of the maximal function (2.4) implies $Q_i \subset \frac{1}{3}Q_0$ (and $Q_j \subset \frac{1}{3}Q_0$ for $j \in A_i$) for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ we define local approximation $\mathbf{z}_i$ for $\mathbf{z}$ on Q_i by

$$\mathbf{z}_i := \Pi^0_{I_i}\Pi^1_{B_i}(\mathbf{z}), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\Pi^1_{B_j}(\mathbf{z})$ is the first order averaged Taylor polynomial [BrenS, DieR2] with respect to space and $\Pi^0_{I_i}$ is the zero order averaged Taylor polynomial in time. We set

$$\mathbf{z}^\alpha_\lambda = \begin{cases} \mathbf{z} & \text{on } \frac{1}{4}Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{O}_\lambda, \\ \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \varphi_i \mathbf{z}_i & \text{on } \frac{1}{4}Q_0 \cap \mathcal{O}_\lambda. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

We apply the arguments used in the proof of Theorem [BrDS] (Thm. 2.2) to the constant sequence $\mathbf{u}$ with the choice $\alpha = 1$. So we have

$$\mathbf{u}_\lambda := \operatorname{curl}(\zeta \mathbf{z}_\lambda) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z})), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\zeta \in C^\infty_0(\frac{1}{6}Q)$ with $\chi_{\frac{1}{8}Q} \le \zeta \le \chi_{\frac{1}{6}Q}$. This means on $\frac{1}{8}Q$ it holds

$$\mathbf{u}_\lambda = \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{z}_\lambda - \mathbf{z}).$$

We immediately obtain the claim of (a). As a consequence of the Lemmas 2.1, 2.4 and 2.9 in [BrDS] we gain the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\fint_{\frac{1}{4}Q} |\nabla \mathbf{z}_\lambda|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\le c\Big(\fint_Q |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + \fint_{\frac{1}{3}Q} r_B^\sigma |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big), \\
\fint_{\frac{1}{4}Q} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{z}_\lambda|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\le c\Big(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma}|\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_{\frac{1}{3}Q} |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big), \\
\fint_{\frac{1}{4}Q} |\partial_t \mathbf{z}_\lambda|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\le c\Big(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma}|\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_{\frac{1}{3}Q} |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

which imply the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\fint_Q |\mathbf{u}_\lambda|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\le c\Big(\fint_Q |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + \fint_Q r_B^\sigma |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big), \\
\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{u}_\lambda|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &\le c\Big(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma}|\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we can replace $\partial_t \mathbf{z}$ by $\mathbf{H}$ on account of (3.5).
It remains to find good levels. So we set

$$g := \mathcal{M}_s(\chi_{\frac{1}{3}Q}|\nabla^2 \mathbf{z}|) + \mathcal{M}_s(\chi_{\frac{1}{3}Q}|\partial_t \mathbf{z}|)$$

and gain from the continuity of $\mathcal{M}_s$ and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |g|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x &\le c\Big(\int_{\frac{1}{3}Q} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{\frac{1}{3}Q} |\partial_t \mathbf{z}|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \Big) \\ &\le c\Big(\int_Q r_B^{-\sigma} |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_Q |\mathbf{H}|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Furthermore, it holds for every $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\gamma > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |g|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \int_0^\infty \sigma t^{\sigma-1} \chi_{\{|g|>t\}} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \sum_{m=m_0}^{2m_0-1} \sigma (2^m \gamma)^\sigma \chi_{\{|g|>\gamma 2^{m+1}\}} \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

So, there is $m_1 \in \{m_0, ..., 2m_0 - 1\}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} (2^{m_1}\gamma)^\sigma \chi_{\{|g|>\gamma 2^{m_1+1}\}} \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{c}{m_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |g|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Setting $\lambda = \gamma 2^{m_1+1}$ we obtain

$$\lambda^\sigma |\tfrac{1}{3}Q \cap \{|g| > \lambda\}| \le \frac{c}{m_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |g|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Combining this with (3.11) gives the estimate in b) due to the definition of $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$.
We have $\mathbf{u}_\lambda - \mathbf{u} = \mathrm{curl}(\mathbf{z}_\lambda - \mathbf{z})$ on $\frac{1}{8}Q$ such that (3.5) and (3.10) imply

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{u}_\lambda - \mathbf{u}) \in L^{\sigma'}\big(\tfrac{1}{8}I, W^{-1,\sigma'}(\tfrac{1}{8}B)\big)$$

. Moreover, we gain for $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{8}Q)$

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\frac{1}{8}Q} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\lambda) \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t &= \int_{\frac{1}{8}Q} \chi_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} \partial_t (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_\lambda) \cdot \mathrm{curl}\, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\le c(\kappa) \int_{\frac{1}{2}Q} \chi_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} |\nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}|^{\sigma'} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \kappa \Big(\fint_Q |\partial_t(\mathbf{z}_\lambda - \mathbf{z})|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \Big) \end{aligned}$$

as a consequence of Young's inequality. Applying (3.5) and (3.10) yields

$$\fint_Q |\partial_t(\mathbf{z}_\lambda - \mathbf{z})|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \le c \left(\fint_Q r_B^{-\sigma} |\mathbf{u}|^\sigma + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}|^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \right).$$

So we have shown the estimate claimed in (d) on $\frac{1}{8}Q$.
A simple scaling argument allows us to obtain the desired estimates on $\frac{1}{2}Q$. □

4. $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes approximation - evolutionary case

For a function $\mathbf{w} \in L^1(Q)$ with $\partial_t \mathbf{w} \in L^{q'}(J; W^{-1,q'}_{\mathrm{div}}(B))$ we introduce the unique function $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{w}} \in L^{q'}_0(Q)$ with

$$\int_Q \mathbf{w} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_Q \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{w}} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)$. We begin with a variational inequality for the non-stationary $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes system.

Lemma 4.1. *For all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, all bounded intervals $J = (t_t, t_1)$ and $\mathbf{u} \in C^0_w([0,T]; L^1(B)) \cap L^q(J; W^{1,q}(B))$ with $\mathbf{u}(0,\cdot) = 0$ a.e. it holds for $Q = J \times B$*

$$\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)} \Big[\fint_Q \Big(\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi} \Big) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q \Big(|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'} \Big) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \Big].$$

where c only depends on $\mathcal{A}$ and d.

Proof. Duality arguments show that

$$\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \sup_{\mathbf{G} \in L^{q'}(Q)} \Big[\fint_Q \nabla \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{G} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q |\mathbf{G}|^{q'} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \Big].$$

For a given $\mathbf{G} \in L^{q'}(Q)$ let $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{G}}$ be the unique $L^{q'}(J; W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}})$-solution to

$$-\fint_Q \mathbf{z} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_Q \mathbf{G} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([t_0, t_1) \times B)$. We also have that $\partial_t \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{G}} \in L^{q'}(J; W^{-1,q'}_{\mathrm{div}}(B))$. Due to Theorem 2.6 this solution satisfies

$$\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{G}}|^{q'} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{G}}}|^{q'} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c \fint_Q |\mathbf{G}|^{q'} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

In other words, the mapping $L^{q'}(B) \ni \mathbf{G} \mapsto \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{G}} \in L^{q'}(J; W^{1,q'}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B))$ is continuous. This and the density of $C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)$ gives due to $\mathbf{u}(0,\cdot) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad \le c \sup_{\mathbf{G} \in L^{q'}(Q)} \Big[\fint_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{G}})) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q \partial_t \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad\qquad -\fint_Q \Big(|\nabla \mathbf{z_H}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H_{z_G}}|^{q'} \Big) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \Big] \\
&\leq c \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)} \Big[\fint_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\qquad\qquad - \fint_Q \Big(|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'} \Big) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the claim. □

Let us now state the $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes approximation. In the following let B be a ball with radius r and J an interval with length $2r^2$. Let $\tilde{Q}$ denote either $Q = J \times B$ or $2Q$. We use similar notations for $\tilde{J}$ and $\tilde{B}$.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{v} \in L^{qs}(2\tilde{J}; W^{1,qs}_{\mathrm{div}}(2\tilde{B}))$, $q, s > 1$, be an almost $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes solution in the sense that*

$$
\left| \fint_{2Q} \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_{2Q} \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \right| \leq \delta \fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})| \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \, \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_\infty \tag{4.1}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(2Q)$ and some small $\delta > 0$. Then the unique solution $\mathbf{w} \in L^q(J; W^{1,q}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(B))$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_Q \mathbf{w} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\qquad = \int_Q \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}([t_0, t_1) \times B)$ satisfies

$$
\fint_Q \Big| \frac{\mathbf{w}}{r} \Big|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \leq \kappa \Big(\fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}.
$$

It holds $\kappa = \kappa(q, s, \delta)$ and $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \kappa(q, s, \delta) = 0$. The function $\mathbf{h} := \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$ is called the $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes approximation of $\mathbf{v}$.

Remark 4.3. *From the proof of Theorem 4.2 we gain the following stability result choosing $p = qs = q$.*

$$
\fint_Q \Big| \frac{\mathbf{w}}{r} \Big|^p \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^p \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \leq c \fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^p \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.
$$

Indeed κ stays bounded if $s \to 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be defined as in (4.2). Combining Poincaré's inequality with Lemma 4.1 and (4.2) shows

$$\begin{aligned}&\fint_Q \Big|\frac{\mathbf{w}}{r}\Big|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^q \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad\le c \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi}\in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)} \Big[\fint_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q \mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\qquad\qquad - \fint_Q \Big(|\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In the following let us fix $\boldsymbol{\xi}\in C^\infty_{0,\mathrm{div}}(Q)$. Let

$$\gamma := \Big(\fint_Q |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{q'}}$$

and $m_0\in\mathbb{N}$, $m_0\gg 1$. Due to Theorem 3.1 applied with $\sigma = q'$ we find $\lambda\in[2^{m_0}\gamma, 2^{2m_0}\gamma]$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\in L^\infty(4J; W^{1,\infty}_{0,\mathrm{div}}(4B))$ such that

$$\|\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(4Q)} \le c\,\lambda, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\lambda^{q'}\frac{\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\left(2Q\cap\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}\}\right)}{|Q|} \le \frac{c}{m_0}\Big(\fint_Q |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\fint_{4Q} |\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c\Big(\fint_Q |\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q r^{q'}|\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\fint_{4Q} |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \le c\Big(\fint_Q |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big). \tag{4.7}$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ can be extended by 0 to $4Q$ thus the equation

$$\partial_t\boldsymbol{\xi} = \operatorname{div}\mathrm{Bog}_B(\partial_t\boldsymbol{\xi}) =: \operatorname{div}\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$$

holds on $4Q$ by the properties of Bog_B (since $\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ can be extended as well). Theorem 3.1 (d) implies that $\partial_t(\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda)\in L^{q'}(2J, W^{-1,q'}(2B))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{2J}\langle\partial_t(\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda),\boldsymbol{\varphi}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad\le c(\kappa)\int_{2Q}\chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\}}|\nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi}|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \kappa\Big(\int_Q |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in W^{1,q}_0(2Q)$. We calculate for $\eta\in C^\infty_0(2Q)$ with $\eta\equiv 1$ on Q, $|\nabla^k\eta|\le cr^{-k}$ and $|\partial_t\nabla^{k-1}\eta|\le cr^{-(k+1)}$ $(k=1,2)$

$$\fint_Q \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q \mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{d+2} \fint_{2Q} \mathcal{A}\big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\eta\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathrm{Bog}_{2B\setminus B}(\nabla\eta\boldsymbol{\xi}))\big)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_{2Q} \mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t\big(\eta\boldsymbol{\xi} - ...\big)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&= 2^{d+2}\Big(\fint_{2Q} \mathcal{A}\big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\eta\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda - \mathrm{Bog}_{2B\setminus B}(\nabla\eta\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda))\big)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_{2Q} \partial_t\mathbf{v}\cdot\big(\eta\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda - ...\big)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big) \\
&+ 2^{d+2} \fint_{2Q} \mathcal{A}\big(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\eta(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda) - \mathrm{Bog}_{2B\setminus B}(\nabla\eta(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda)))\big)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&+ 2^{d+2} \fint_{2Q} \partial_t\mathbf{v}\cdot\big(\eta(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda) - \mathrm{Bog}_{2B\setminus B}(\nabla\eta(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda))\big)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&=: 2^{d+2}(I + II + III).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the time-derivative of $\mathbf{v}$ exists in the $W^{-1,\infty}_{\mathrm{div}}$-sense as a consequence of (4.1). Therefore all terms are well-defined by the properties of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda$. We have the following inequality on account of (4.6), (4.7) and the continuity properties of Bog ($\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda} := \boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\fint_{2Q} |\nabla\boldsymbol{\Psi}_\lambda|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t &:= \fint_{2Q} |\nabla(\eta\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_\lambda) - \nabla\mathrm{Bog}_{2B\setminus B}(\nabla\eta\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_\lambda)|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\le c\fint_{2Q} |\nabla\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_\lambda|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + c\fint_{2Q} \Big|\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_\lambda}{r}\Big|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\le c\fint_{Q} |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + c\fint_{Q} \Big|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{r}\Big|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + c\fint_{Q} |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\le c\fint_{Q} |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + c\fint_{Q} |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

Young's inequality for an appropriate choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ and Poincaré's inequality imply together with (4.6) and (4.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
II &\le c(\varepsilon)\fint_{2Q} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|^q \chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon\fint_{2Q} |\nabla\boldsymbol{\Psi}_\lambda|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\le c\fint_{2Q} |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|^q \chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\}}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{3}\fint_{Q} |\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&=: II_1 + II_2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where c depends on $|\mathcal{A}|$, q and q'. With Hölder's inequality we gain

$$
II_1 \le c\Big(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}\Big(\frac{\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\left(2Q\cap\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}\}\right)}{|Q|}\Big)^{1-\frac{1}{s}}.
$$

If follows from (4.5), by the choice of γ and $\lambda \geq \gamma$ that

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\left(2Q \cap \{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda \neq \boldsymbol{\xi}\}\right)}{|Q|} \leq \frac{c\gamma^{q'}}{m_0 \lambda^{q'}} \leq \frac{c}{m_0}. \tag{4.10}$$

Thus

$$II_1 \leq c\Big(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\frac{c}{m_0}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{s}}.$$

We choose m_0 so large such that

$$II_1 \leq \frac{\kappa}{3}\Big(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Since $\partial_t(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda) \in L^{q'}(2J, W^{-1,q'}(2B))$ we can write III as

$$\begin{aligned} III = &\fint_{2Q} \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \eta (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_{2Q} \eta \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &- \fint_{2Q} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{Bog}_{2B\setminus B}(\partial_t \nabla \eta (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda)) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &- \fint_{2Q} \mathrm{Bog}^*_{2B\setminus B}(\mathbf{v}) \nabla \eta \cdot \partial_t (\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &=: III_1 + III_2 + III_3 + III_4. \end{aligned}$$

The formulation in (4.1) does not change if we subtract terms which are constant in space from $\mathbf{v}$. So we can assume

$$\fint_{2B\setminus B} \mathbf{v}(t) \,\mathrm{d}x = 0 \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad t \in 2J. \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{Bog}^*_{2B\setminus B}(\mathbf{v})$ is well-defined (in the sense of L^2_0-duality). We consider the four terms separately and obtain for the first one

$$\begin{aligned} III_1 \leq\ & c \fint_{2I} \fint_{2B\setminus B} \chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda \neq \boldsymbol{\xi}\}} \Big|\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r}\Big| \Big|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda}{r}\Big| \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq c(\varepsilon) \fint_{2I} \fint_{2B\setminus B} \Big|\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r}\Big|^q \chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda \neq \boldsymbol{\xi}\}} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon \fint_{2Q} \Big|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda}{r}\Big|^{q'} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &=: c(\varepsilon) III_{11} + \varepsilon III_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Poincaré's inequality and Young's inequality yield

$$III_{11} \leq c\Big(\fint_{2I} \fint_{2B\setminus B} \Big|\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r}\Big|^{qs} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\left(2Q \cap \{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda \neq \boldsymbol{\xi}\}\right)}{|Q|}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\leq c\Big(\fint_{2Q}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}\Big(\frac{\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\left(2Q\cap\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}\}\right)}{|Q|}\Big)^{1-\frac{1}{s}}$$

Arguing as done for the term II_1 yields

$$III_{11}\leq\frac{\kappa}{12}\Big(\fint_{2Q}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Moreover, we gain from (4.6) and Poincaré's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}III_{12}&\leq c\fint_Q\Big|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{r}\Big|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t+c\fint_Q|\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\\&\leq c\fint_Q|\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t+c\fint_Q|\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$III_1\leq\frac{\kappa}{12}\Big(\fint_{2Q}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}+\frac{1}{12}\Big(\int_Q|\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}+|\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big).$$

As a consequence of (4.8), (4.11) and Poincaré's inequality we obtain similarly

$$\begin{aligned}III_2&\leq c(\varepsilon)\int_{2Q}\chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\}}|\nabla(\eta\mathbf{v})|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t+\varepsilon\Big(\int_Q|\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}+|\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)\\&\leq\frac{\kappa}{12}\Big(\fint_{2Q}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}+\frac{1}{12}\Big(\int_Q|\nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}+|\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account continuity properties of the Bogovskiĭ-operator we can estimate III_3 via (recall (4.11))

$$\begin{aligned}III_3&\leq\Big(\fint_{2Q}\fint_{2B\setminus B}\Big|\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r}\Big|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{qs}}\Big(\fint_{2Q}r^{(qs)'}\Big|\mathrm{Bog}_{2B\setminus B}(\partial_t\nabla\eta(\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda))\Big)\Big|^{(qs)'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{(qs)'}}\\&\leq c\Big(\fint_{2Q}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{qs}}\Big(\fint_{2Q}r^{2(qs)'}\big|\partial_t\nabla\eta(\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda)\big|^{(qs)'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{(qs)'}}\\&\leq c\Big(\fint_{2Q}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{qs}}\Big(\fint_{2Q}\chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\}}\Big|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda}{r}\Big|^{(qs)'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{(qs)'}}.\end{aligned}$$

We gain from Young's inequality

$$III_3\leq\frac{\varepsilon^q}{12}\Big(\fint_{2Q}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}}+c\,\varepsilon^{-q'}\Big(\fint_{2Q}\chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\}}\Big|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda}{r}\Big|^{(qs)'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{q'}{(qs)'}}$$

$$=: \frac{\varepsilon^q}{12} III_{31} + c\varepsilon^{-q'} III_{32}.$$

It holds due to Hölder's inequality, (4.10), (4.5) and (4.6) for m_0 large enough

$$\begin{aligned} III_{32} &\leq \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^{d+1}\left(2Q \cap \{\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda \neq \boldsymbol{\xi}\}\right)}{|Q|}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{s}} \left(\fint_{2Q} \left|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda}{r}\right|^{q'} \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{12c}\left(\int_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon := \kappa^{1/q'}$ implies

$$III_3 \leq \frac{\kappa}{12}\left(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{1}{12}\left(\int_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right).$$

By (4.8) and (4.10) we have for m_0 large enough

$$\begin{aligned} III_4 &\leq c \fint_{2Q} \chi_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\neq\boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\}} |\nabla(\nabla\eta \mathrm{Bog}^*_{2B\setminus B}(\mathbf{v}))|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{12}\left(\int_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla(\nabla\eta \mathrm{Bog}^*_{2B\setminus B}(\mathbf{v}))|^{sq}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{1}{12}\left(\int_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right) \\ &=: \varepsilon III_{41} + \frac{1}{2} III_{42}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the continuity of $\mathrm{Bog}(\mathrm{div}(\cdot))$ on L^p for any $1 < p < \infty$ (see [Ga1][III.3, Theorem 3.3] for the Bogovskiĭ-operator and negative norms) we have continuity of $\nabla \mathrm{Bog}^*$ as well. This and and (4.11) yield

$$\begin{aligned} III_{41} &\leq c\left(\fint_{2Q} \left|\frac{\mathrm{Bog}^*_{2B\setminus B}(\mathbf{v})}{r^2}\right|^{sq} \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_{2Q} \left|\frac{\nabla \mathrm{Bog}^*_{2B\setminus B}(\mathbf{v})}{r}\right|^{sq} \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq c\left(\fint_{2Q} \left|\frac{\nabla \mathrm{Bog}^*_{2B\setminus B}(\mathbf{v})}{r}\right|^{sq} \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq c\left(\fint_{2I}\fint_{2B\setminus B} \left|\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r}\right|^{sq} \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq c\left(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{sq}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence for $\varepsilon := \kappa/12c$

$$III_4 \leq \frac{\kappa}{12}\left(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{1}{12}\left(\int_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right).$$

Plugging the estimates for III_1-III_4 together we see

$$III \le \frac{\kappa}{3}\Big(\fint_{2Q} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{1}{3}\Big(\int_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'} + |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big).$$

Since $\mathbf{v}$ is an almost $\mathcal{A}$-Stokes solution and $\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\|_\infty \le c\,\lambda \le c\,2^{m_0}\gamma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\le \delta \fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\, \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}_\lambda\|_{\infty,2Q} \\ &\le \delta \left(\fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{qs}} c\,2^{m_0}\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Young's inequality and Jensen's inequality to gain

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\le \delta 2^{m_0} c\Big(\fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \delta 2^{m_0} c\gamma^{q'} \\ &\le \delta 2^{m_0} c\Big(\fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \delta 2^{m_0} c\Big(\fint_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we choose $\delta > 0$ so small such that $\delta 2^{m_0} c \le \kappa/3$. Thus

$$|I| \le \frac{\kappa}{3}\Big(\fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{1}{3}\Big(\fint_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big).$$

Combining the estimates for I, II and III we have established

$$\begin{aligned} &\fint_{2Q} \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t - \fint_Q \mathbf{v}\cdot\partial_t \boldsymbol{\xi}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\qquad \le \kappa\Big(\fint_{2\tilde{Q}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^{qs}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \fint_Q |\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t + \fint_Q |\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|^{q'}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this in (4.3) shows the claim □

Acknowledgement

- The work of the author was partially supported by Leopoldina (German National Academy of Science).
- The author wishes to thank R. Farwig for very helpful advices regarding the L^q-theory for the Stokes system.

References

[Ada] R. A. Adams (1975): Sobolev spaces. Pure Appl. Math. 65, Academic Press, Inc., New York.

[AgmDN1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg (1959): Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12, 623–727.

[AgmDN2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg (1964): Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. II, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 17, 35–92.

[AmrGi] C. Amrouche, V. Girault (1994): Decomposition of vector spaces and application to the Stokes problem in arbitrary dimension, Czechoslovak Math. J. 44, 109–140.

[AM] G. Astarita and G. Marrucci (1974): Principles of non-Newtonian fluid mechanics. McGraw-Hill, London-New York.

[AF] E. Acerbi, N. Fusco (1988): An approximation lemma for $W^{1,p}$ functions, Material instabilities in continuum mechanics (Edinburgh, 1985-1986), Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1988, pp. 1-5.

[BirAH] R. Bird, R. Armstrong, O. Hassager (1987): Dynamics of polymeric liquids, volume 1: fluid mechanics (second edition). John Wiley.

[BKR] H. Beirão da Veiga, P. Kaplický, M. Růžička (2011): Boundary Regularity of Shear Thickening Flows. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 13, 387-404.

[Bog] M. E. Bogovskiĭ. Solutions of some problems of vector analysis, associated with the operators div and grad. In *Theory of cubature formulas and the application of functional analysis to problems of mathematical physics (Russian)*, pages 5–40, 149. Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel. Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk, 1980.

[BrDS] D. Breit, L. Diening, S. Schwarzacher (2013): Solenoidal Lipschitz truncation for parabolic PDE's. M^3AS 23, 2671–2700.

[BrDF] D. Breit, L. Diening, M. Fuchs (2012): Solenoidal Lipschitz truncation and applications in fluid mechanics. J. Diff. Eq. 253, 1910–1942.

[BrenS] S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott (1994): The mathematical theory of finite element methods. Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, New York.

[ByWa] S.-S. Byun, L. Wang (2005): L^p estimates for parabolic equations in Reifenberg domains. J. Funct. Anal. 223, 44–85.

[DK] L. Diening, P. Kaplicky (2012): L^q theory for a generalized Stokes System. Manuscripta Mathematica 141, Issue 1-2, 333–361.

[DieR2] L. Diening and M. Růžička (2007): Interpolation operators in Orlicz Sobolev spaces. Num. Math. 107 no. 1, 107–129.

[De1] E. De Giorgi (1961): Frontiere orientate di misura minima, Seminario di Matematica della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, 1960-61, Editrice Tecnico Scientica, Pisa.

[DM1] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, (2004): The p-harmonic approximation and the regularity of p-harmonic maps. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 20 (2004), pp. 235–256.

[DM2] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione (2009): Harmonic type approximation lemmas, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 352, no. 1, 301–335.

[DuzM2] F. Duzaar, G. Mingione (2005): Second order parabolic systems, optimal regularity, and singular sets of solutions. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 22, 705–751.

[DieLSV] L. Diening, B. Stroffolini, A. Verde (2012): Partial regularity for minimizers of quasiconvex functionals with general growth. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 44, 3594–3616.

[DMS] L. Diening, J. Malék, M. Steinhauer (2008): On Lipschitz Truncations of Sobolev Functions (with Variable Exponent) and Their Selected Applications. ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations 14, 211–232.

[DuMiSt] F. Duzaar, R. Mingione, K. Steffen (2011): Parabolic Systems with Polynomial Growth and Regularity. Memoires of the American Mathematical Society 214, no. 1005.

[DSV] L. Diening, B. Stroffolini, A. Verde (2012): The φ–harmonic approximation and the regularity of φ–harmonic maps, J. Diff. Eq. 253, 1943–1958.

[DRW] L. Diening, M. Růžička, J. Wolf (2010): Existence of weak solutions for unsteady motions of generalized Newtonian fluids. Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. IX (2010), 1-46

[FarKS] R. Farwig, H. Kozono, H. Sohr (2005): An L^q-approach to Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations in general domains. Acta Math. 195, 21–53.

[Fu2] M. Fuchs (1996): On quasistatic Non-Newtonian fluids with power law. Math. Meth. Appl. Sciences 19, 1225-1232.

[Ga1] G. Galdi (1994): An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations Vol. I, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy Vol. 38. Springer, Berlin-New York.

[GalSS] G. P. Galdi, C. G. Simader, H. Sohr (2005): A class of solutions to stationary Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations with boundary data in $W^{-1/q,q}$. Math. Ann. 331, 41–74.

[Gi] Y. Giga (1981): Analyticity of the smeigroup generated by the Stokes operator in L_r spaces. Math. Z. 178, 297–329.

[KMS] P. Kaplický, J. Málek, J. Stará (1999): $C^{1,\alpha}$-solutions to a class of nonlinear fluids in two dimensions - stationary Dirichlet problem. Zapiski Nauchnyh Seminarov POMI 259, 122-144.

[KinL] J. Kinnunen, J. L. Lewis (2002): Very weak solutions of parabolic systems of p-Laplacian type. Ark. Mat. 40, no. 1, 105–132.

[La1] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya (1969): The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow. Gorden and Breach.

[La2] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya (1967): On some new equations describing dynamics of incompressible fluids and on global solvability of boundary value problems to these equations. Trudy Steklov's Math. Institute 102, 85-104.

[La3] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya (1968): On some modifications of the Navier-Stokes equations for large gradients of velocity, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI) 7, 126-154.

[Li] J. L. Lions (1969): Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires. Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.

[Mi] G. Mingione (2006): Regularity of minima: an invitation to the Dark Side of the Calculus of Variations. Appl. Math. 51, No. 4, 355–426.

[MNRR] J. Málek, J. Necăs, M. Rokyta, M. Růžička (1996): Weak and measure valued solutions to evolutionary PDEs. Chapman & Hall, London-Weinheim-New York.

[NW] J. Naumann, J. Wolf (2005): Interior differentiability of weak solutions to the equations of stationary motion of a class of Non-Newtonian fluids. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 7, 298-313.

[Sh] Z. Shen (1995): Resolvent Estimates in L^p for Eliptic Systems in Lipschitz domains. J. Funct. Anal. 133, 224–251.

[ST] G. Strang, R. Temam (1981): Functions of bounded deformation. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 75, 7-21.

[Pa] A. Pazy (1983): Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differen- tial Equation. Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo.

[Se] G. Seregin (1999): Interior regularity for solutions to the modified Navier-Stokes equations. J.Math. Fluid Mech. 1, 235–281.

[So] V. A. Solonnikov (1977): Estimates for Solutions of Nonstationary Navier-Stokes Equations. J. Soviet Math. 8, 467–528.

[Ste] E. M. Stein (1993): Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.